

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO | Э. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------|-------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/037,356 | - | 10/24/2001 | Xian-Wei Yao | 24736-2046 | 6449 |
| 20985 | 7590 | 09/01/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| | RICHARD CAMINO | • | GORDON, BRIAN R | | |
| | | 2130-2081 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | ŕ | | | 1743 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 09/01/2004 | i |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application | on No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | 10/037,35 | | YAO ET AL. | | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | <u> </u> | | | | |
| | · | Brian R. | | 1743 | | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communic | I | | | ddress | | | | |
| Period fo | • • | | | | | | | | |
| THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC usions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply weeply received by the Office later than three months after patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even nication. g days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and wrill, by statute, cause the app | ent, however, may a re utory minimum of thirty ill expire SIX (6) MON dication to become AB | eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered time THS from the mailing date of this ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed | l on <u>6-10-04</u> . | | | | | | | |
| • | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 5) 6) 7) | Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> is/are pending in the apda of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-60</u> are subject to restriction | e withdrawn from co | | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9)[| The specification is objected to by the | Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) | 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any object | • , | - | • | DED 4 404(4) | | | | |
| 11) | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to | · | | · · | • • | | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | |
| | t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT | ·O-948) | | Summary (PTO-413) S)/Mail Date | | | | | |
| 3) Information Pape | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date | | | nformal Patent Application (P1 | ГО-152) | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-22, drawn to a method of delivering liquid samples to a substrate, classified in class 436, subclass 180.
 - II. Claims 23-39, drawn to a system for delivery of liquid samples from one or more pin tools to target locations on a substrate, classified in class 422, subclass 100.
 - III. Claims 40-44, and 60 drawn to a pin too for use in a sample delivery system, classified in class 422, subclass 99.
 - IV. Claims 45-49, drawn to a substrate, classified in class 422, subclass 104.
 - V. Claims 50-54 and 61, drawn to a combination of a substrate and a pin tool, classified in class 422, subclass 100.
 - VI. Claims 55-56, drawn to a method of spectrometric analysis, classified in class 436, subclass 171.
 - VII. Claims 57-59 drawn to a method for preparing a substrate, classified in class 436, subclass 165.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and (II, III, IV, V) are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the

Art Unit: 1743

apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process may be practiced by hand for the process claimed does not require the particular structure (for example the control and transport systems) of the apparatus of Group II.

- 3. Inventions (I-V, and VII) and (VI) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions the method of Group VI is a different mode of operation and yields a different affects than that of the inventions of Groups (I-V and VII).
- 4. Inventions (I-VI) and (VII) are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions the method of Group VII is a different mode of operation and yields a different affects than that of the inventions of Groups (I-VI).
- 5. Inventions II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination of Group II does

Art Unit: 1743

not require the particulars of the subcombination of Group III such as the positive recitation of the pin tool comprising slotted pins s having open tips adapted to fit around a material at a target location. The subcombination has separate utility such as being manually used to simply hold a drop of liquid.

- 6. Inventions (II, III) and IV are related as combination and subcombination.

 Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination of Group (II, III) does not require the particulars of the substrate of Group IV such as the photoresist material. The subcombination has separate utility such as spectrometric analysis.
- 7. Inventions (II, III, IV) and V are related as combination and subcombination.

 Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination of Group V does not require the particulars of the subcombination of Group (II, III, IV) such as the transport system (Group II), the slotted pins (Group III), or the substrate of photoresist material (Group IV). The subcombination has separate utility

Art Unit: 1743

such as being manually used to pick up or expel liquid from a reservoir (Group II, III) and spectrometric analysis (Group IV).

- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 9. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group III is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 10. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 11. A telephone call was made to Stephanie Seidman on May 6, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

12. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1743

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian R. Gordon whose telephone number is 571-272-1258. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, with 2nd and 4th F off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

brg

וות / אווו varden Superyisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700